Upgrading U.S.-Israel Strategic Relations?

Public, Formal Agreements

- MOU (1979)
- MOU against Soviet threat or Sovietcontrolled forces (1981)
- JPMG followed by joint planning, prepositioning, exercises (1983)
- SDI Participation (1986)
- Major Non NATO Ally (1988)
- SOU on Arms/Technology Transfers (2005)

<u>History of Dialogues</u>

Net Assessment-Plans Directorate (Agat)

Political -- "P"/ MFA Director General

Counterterrorism – NSC/NSC

Strategic –Burns/Mofaz

BEYOND FORMAL TREATIES

U.S.-Israel Security
MOA- 10/31/1998

 Clinton-Barak statement– 7/19/1999

- Bush Letter to Sharon
 - **-4/14/2004**

"Upgrade" Options

- Formal Treaty
 - Unilateral Assurance or Mutual Defense?
- MOU
 - General or Limited to Defense Against Iran
 - Make Bush's statements operational
- Long-Term Aid/Technology Understanding

Upgrade Advantages

- Greater security and long-term viability
- Enhanced deterrence and defense
- Stability in U.S.-Israel Relationship
- Reinforcement of forward-leaning Israeli diplomacy
- Access to advanced capabilities
- Export markets and interoperability

Pursue an Upgrade?

Politically achievable?

Worthwhile for U.S. and Israel?

 Repercussions of failure to reach agreement?

Dilemmas: Impact? Can it Work?

Will a U.S. guarantee...

- undermine case for:
 - independence of action
 - military assistance
 - retention of post-1967 territories
 - strategic capabilities
- Impact Israeli self-reliance
- Deter threats
 - Credibility
 - Relevance

Dilemmas: Worth the Price?

Will a U.S. guarantee...

Effectively lock in a commitment

Jeopardize other U.S. interests

Require offers to others

Demand new Israeli obligations

Conclusions

- Advantage to formality when objectives shared
- Less utility when either side has doubts
- Significant uncertainties